

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,522	10/087,522 03/01/2002		Ulrich Haueter	14347	4086
25763	7590	05/13/2005		EXAM	INER
DORSEY &			MENT	NASSER, R	ROBERT L
50 SOUTH		ROPERTY DEPART TREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	DLIS, MI	N 55402-1498	3736		
				D	_

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Attachment(s)

1)	\bowtie	Notice	of	References	Cited	(PTO-892)	
----	-----------	--------	----	------------	-------	-----------	--

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3736

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/27/2005 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 30, 31, 33, and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Korf et al 6013029. Korf in figure 2 shows a device including an access portion, i.e. subcutaneous interface 2, and an outlet 27 and an inlet 15, where perfusion fluid is circulated through the interface 2 through a fluid channel made up of tubes 13 and 14, from where it picks up components of body fluid, such as glucose. Korf further includes a sensor 3 for detecting a the body fluid component. With respect to claim 31, the sensor is removable in that it can be taken out of the device. Claim 33 is rejected in that perfusate flows from the reservoir 6 through the inlet 15, through a supply tube 13 to the discharge tube 14, and eventually out through the outlet 27. Claims 35-37 are

Art Unit: 3736

rejected in that the is a support plate 12 between the human body and the inlet 15 and the outlet 27.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korf et al in view of Say 6128519. Say shows the same type of device as Korf et al, where there is a check valve 44 for preventing flow of fluid from the sensor back to the needle. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Korf et al to include such a valve, so as to control the fluid flow and maintain accurate readings. With respect to claim 29, in order to properly work, the reflux valve must be above the plate 26.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korf et al in view of Pfeiffer et al 5640954. Korf does not show the structure of the interface 2. Pfeiffer et al shows an interface including a membrane surrounding an access portion. As such, it would have been obvious to modify Korf to use such an access device, as it is merely the substitution of one known equivalent structure for another.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,522

Art Unit: 3736

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is (571) 27:2-4731. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, variable hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert L. Nasser Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736 Page 4

RLN May 9, 2005

> ROBERT L. NASSER PRIMARY EYAMINER